

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Barker
<b>Given Name</b>	Nicholas
<b>Person ID</b>	1285773
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Barker
<b>Given Name</b>	Nicholas
<b>Person ID</b>	1285773
<b>Title</b>	JPA 37: West of Gibfield
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>What about the environment? - A threat to the natural wildlife that form habitats in the proposed area</p> <p>What about clean air? - Approx 1000 extra cars producing fumes and all of the new houses doing the same from their home fuel usage</p> <p>What about the natural water traps? Loss of such through development</p> <p>What about infrasture improvements? The impact of the additional cars on roads that are already exceedingly busy will be a huge negative and the proposals are not sufficient to demonstrate that they will allow for their to be no negative impact for current road users</p> <p>Lots of Schoolchildren are crossing these roads which are already busy and therefore unsafe in general sue to high volume of traffic.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I object to the development as a whole and suggest that this development should not take place.